

FORM PTO-1390 (REV. 11-2000)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371			4082-0129P
			U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 09/830114 NEW
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED	
PCT/CA99/01008	October 27, 1999	October 27, 1998	
TITLE OF INVENTION A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR ADAPTIVE BANDWIDTH PITCH SEARCH IN CODING WIDEBAND SIGNALS			
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Bruno BESSETTE, Redwan SALAMI, Roch LEFEBVRE			
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39 (1). 4. <input type="checkbox"/> The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31). 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith. b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4) 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. 8. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). 9. <input type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the annexes of the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). 			
Items 11. to 20. below concern document(s) or information included:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98./International Search Report with cited references 12. <input type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. 14. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. 15. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification. 16. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 17. <input type="checkbox"/> A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821-1.825. 18. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 19. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 20. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: 			

U.S. APPLICATION NO (if known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 09/830114		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO PCT/CA99/01008		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 4082-0129P	
--	--	--	--	--	--

21. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5): Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO. \$1,000.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$860.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO. \$710.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00 International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4). \$100.00 ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =	CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY													
	\$	860.00												
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).	\$	130.00												
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:20%;">CLAIMS</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER FILED</th> <th style="width:20%;">NUMBER EXTRA</th> <th style="width:20%;">RATE</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Claims</td> <td>63 - 20 =</td> <td>43</td> <td>X \$18.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>2 - 3 =</td> <td>0</td> <td>X \$80.00</td> </tr> </table>	CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	Total Claims	63 - 20 =	43	X \$18.00	Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00	\$	774.00
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE											
Total Claims	63 - 20 =	43	X \$18.00											
Independent Claims	2 - 3 =	0	X \$80.00											
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable) 0 + \$270.00	\$	0												
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =	\$	1764.00												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.	\$	(882.00)												
SUBTOTAL =	\$	882.00												
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).	\$	0												
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =	\$	0												
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +	\$	0												
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =	\$	882.00												
	Amount to be:	\$												
	refunded	\$												
	charged	\$												

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ **882.00** to cover the above fees is enclosed.


b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account. No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees.
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any
overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

Send all correspondence to:
Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP or Customer No. 2292
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703)205-8000

Date: April 24, 2001

By 
 F. Prince Butler, #25,666

09/830114
JC18 Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 4 APR 2001

PATENT
4082-0129P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Bruno BESSETTE et al. Conf.:
Int'l. Appl. No.: PCT/CA99/01008
Appl. No.: NEW Group:
Filed: April 24, 2001 Examiner:
For: A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR ADAPTIVE
BANDWIDTH PITCH SEARCH IN CODING
WIDEBAND SIGNALS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

April 24, 2001

Sir:

The following Preliminary Amendments and Remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

AMENDMENTS

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the specification as follows:

Page 1, after the heading "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION", insert the paragraph --This application is the national phase under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of PCT International Application No. PCT/CA99/01008 which has an International filing date of October 27, 1999, which designated the United States of America and was published in English.


REMARKS

The specification has been amended to provide a cross-reference to the previously filed International Application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
F. Prince Butler, #25,666

FPB/tm
4082-0129P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

(Rev. 02/12/01)

A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR ADAPTIVE BANDWIDTHPITCH SEARCH IN CODING WIDEBAND SIGNALS

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the invention:

10

The present invention relates to an efficient technique for digitally encoding a wideband signal, in particular but not exclusively a speech signal, in view of transmitting, or storing, and synthesizing this wideband sound signal. More specifically, this invention deals with an improved pitch search device and method.

15

2. Brief description of the prior art:

20

The demand for efficient digital wideband speech/audio encoding techniques with a good subjective quality/bit rate trade-off is increasing for numerous applications such as audio/video teleconferencing, multimedia, and wireless applications, as well as Internet and packet network applications. Until recently, telephone

25

bandwidths filtered in the range 200-3400 Hz were mainly used in speech coding applications. However, there is an increasing demand for wideband speech applications in order to increase the intelligibility and

naturalness of the speech signals. A bandwidth in the range 50-7000 Hz was found sufficient for delivering a face-to-face speech quality. For audio signals, this range gives an acceptable audio quality, but still lower than the CD quality which operates on the range 20-20000 Hz.

5 A speech encoder converts a speech signal into a digital bitstream which is transmitted over a communication channel (or stored in a storage medium). The speech signal is digitized (sampled and quantized with usually 16-bits per sample) and the speech encoder has the role of representing these digital samples with a smaller number of
10 bits while maintaining a good subjective speech quality. The speech decoder or synthesizer operates on the transmitted or stored bit stream and converts it back to a sound signal.

15 One of the best prior art techniques capable of achieving a good quality/bit rate trade-off is the so-called Code Excited Linear Prediction (CELP) technique. According to this technique, the sampled speech signal is processed in successive blocks of L samples usually called *frames* where L is some predetermined number (corresponding to 10-30 ms of speech). In CELP, a linear prediction (LP) filter is computed
20 and transmitted every frame. The L -sample frame is then divided into smaller blocks called *subframes* of size N samples, where $L=kN$ and k is the number of subframes in a frame (N usually corresponds to 4-10 ms of speech). An excitation signal is determined in each subframe, which usually consists of two components: one from the past excitation (also
25 called pitch contribution or adaptive codebook) and the other from an innovation codebook (also called fixed codebook). This excitation signal

is transmitted and used at the decoder as the input of the LP synthesis filter in order to obtain the synthesized speech.

An innovation codebook in the CELP context, is an indexed set of N -sample-long sequences which will be referred to as N -dimensional
5 codevectors. Each codebook sequence is indexed by an integer k ranging from 1 to M where M represents the size of the codebook often expressed as a number of bits b , where $M=2^b$.

To synthesize speech according to the CELP technique, each
10 block of N samples is synthesized by filtering an appropriate codevector from a codebook through time varying filters modeling the spectral characteristics of the speech signal. At the encoder end, the synthetic output is computed for all, or a subset, of the codevectors from the codebook (codebook search). The retained codevector is the one producing the synthetic output closest to
15 the original speech signal according to a perceptually weighted distortion measure. This perceptual weighting is performed using a so-called perceptual weighting filter, which is usually derived from the LP filter.

The CELP model has been very successful in encoding telephone
20 band sound signals, and several CELP-based standards exist in a wide range of applications, especially in digital cellular applications. In the telephone band, the sound signal is band-limited to 200-3400 Hz and sampled at 8000 samples/sec. In wideband speech/audio applications, the sound signal is band-limited to 50-7000 Hz and sampled at 16000
25 samples/sec.

Some difficulties arise when applying the telephone-band optimized CELP model to wideband signals, and additional features need to be added to the model in order to obtain high quality wideband signals. Wideband signals exhibit a much wider dynamic range compared to telephone-band signals, which results in precision problems when a fixed-point implementation of the algorithm is required (which is essential in wireless applications). Further, the CELP model will often spend most of its encoding bits on the low-frequency region, which usually has higher energy contents, resulting in a low-pass output signal. To overcome this problem, the perceptual weighting filter has to be modified in order to suit wideband signals, and pre-emphasis techniques which boost the high frequency regions become important to reduce the dynamic range, yielding a simpler fixed-point implementation, and to ensure a better encoding of the higher frequency contents of the signal. Further, the pitch contents in the spectrum of voiced segments in wideband signals do not extend over the whole spectrum range, and the amount of voicing shows more variation compared to narrow-band signals. Therefore, in case of wideband signals, existing pitch search structures are not very efficient. Thus, it is important to improve the closed-loop pitch analysis to better accommodate the variations in the voicing level.

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is therefore to provide a method and device for efficiently encoding wideband (7000 Hz) sound signals using

CELP-type encoding techniques, using improved pitch analysis in order to obtain high a quality reconstructed sound signal.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5

More specifically, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided a method for selecting an optimal set of pitch codebook parameters associated to a signal path, from at least two signal paths, having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error. The pitch prediction error is calculated in response to a pitch codevector from a pitch codebook search device. In at least one of the two signal paths, the pitch prediction error is filtered before supplying the pitch codevector for calculation of said pitch prediction error of said one path. Finally, the pitch prediction errors calculated in said at least two signal paths are compared, the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error is chosen, and the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the choosen signal path are selected.

The pitch analysis device of the invention, for producing an optimal set of pitch codebook parameters, comprises:

a) at least two signal paths associated to respective sets of pitch codebook parameters, wherein:

i) each signal path comprises a pitch prediction error calculating device for calculating a pitch prediction error of a pitch codevector from a pitch codebook search device; and

ii) at least one of the two paths comprises a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying the pitch

codevector to the path's pitch prediction error calculating device; and

- b) a selector for comparing the pitch prediction errors calculated in the signal paths, for choosing the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error, and for selecting the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the chosen signal path.

The new method and device which achieve efficient modeling of the harmonic structure of the speech spectrum uses several forms of low pass filters applied to the past excitation and the one yielding higher prediction gain is selected. When subsample pitch resolution is used, the low pass filters can be incorporated into the interpolation filters used to obtain the higher pitch resolution.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, each pitch prediction error calculating device of the pitch analysis device described above comprises:

- a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with a weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;
- b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and a pitch search target vector;
- c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector;
- and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

In another preferred embodiment of the invention, the pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

The present invention further relates to an encoder, having the pitch analysis device described above, for encoding a wideband input signal and comprising:

- a) a linear prediction synthesis filter calculator responsive to the wideband signal for producing linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients;
- b) a perceptual weighting filter, responsive to the wideband signal and the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients, for producing a perceptually weighted signal;
- c) an impulse response generator responsive to the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients for producing a weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal;
- d) a pitch search unit for producing pitch codebook parameters, comprising:

i) a pitch codebook search device responsive to the perceptually weighted signal and the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients for producing the pitch codevector and an innovative search target vector; and

5 ii) the pitch analysis device responsive to the pitch codevector for selecting, from the sets of pitch codebook parameters, the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error;

10 d) an innovative codebook search device, responsive to the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal, and the innovative search target vector, for producing innovative codebook parameters; and

15 e) a signal forming device for producing an encoded wideband signal comprising the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the path having the lowest pitch prediction error, the innovative codebook parameters, and the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients.

20 The present invention still further relates to a cellular communication system, a cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit, a cellular network element, and a bidirectional wireless communication sub-system comprising the above described decoder.

25 The objects, advantages and other features of the present invention will become more apparent upon reading of the following non restrictive description of a preferred embodiment thereof, given by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the appended drawings:

5 Figure 1 is a schematic block diagram of a preferred embodiment of wideband encoding device;

10 Figure 2 is a schematic block diagram of a preferred embodiment of wideband decoding device;

15 Figure 3 is a schematic block diagram of a preferred embodiment of pitch analysis device; and

20 Figure 4 is a simplified, schematic block diagram of a cellular communication system in which the wideband encoding device of Figure 1 and the wideband decoding device of Figure 2 can be used.

25 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

As well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, a cellular communication system such as 401 (see Figure 4) provides a telecommunication service over a large geographic area by dividing that large geographic area into a number C of smaller cells. The C smaller cells

are serviced by respective cellular base stations $402_1, 402_2 \dots 402_c$ to provide each cell with radio signalling, audio and data channels.

Radio signalling channels are used to page mobile radiotelephones (mobile transmitter/receiver units) such as 403 within the limits of the coverage area (cell) of the cellular base station 402, and to place calls to other radiotelephones 403 located either inside or outside the base station's cell or to another network such as the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) 404.

Once a radiotelephone 403 has successfully placed or received a call, an audio or data channel is established between this radiotelephone 403 and the cellular base station 402 corresponding to the cell in which the radiotelephone 403 is situated, and communication between the base station 402 and radiotelephone 403 is conducted over that audio or data channel. The radiotelephone 403 may also receive control or timing information over a signalling channel while a call is in progress.

If a radiotelephone 403 leaves a cell and enters another adjacent cell while a call is in progress, the radiotelephone 403 hands over the call to an available audio or data channel of the new cell base station 402. If a radiotelephone 403 leaves a cell and enters another adjacent cell while no call is in progress, the radiotelephone 403 sends a control message over the signalling channel to log into the base station 402 of the new cell. In this manner mobile communication over a wide geographical area is possible.

The cellular communication system 401 further comprises a control terminal 405 to control communication between the cellular base stations

402 and the PSTN 404, for example during a communication between a radiotelephone 403 and the PSTN 404, or between a radiotelephone 403 located in a first cell and a radiotelephone 403 situated in a second cell.

Of course, a bidirectional wireless radio communication subsystem
5 is required to establish an audio or data channel between a base station 402 of one cell and a radiotelephone 403 located in that cell. As illustrated in very simplified form in Figure 4, such a bidirectional wireless radio communication subsystem typically comprises in the radiotelephone 403:

- a transmitter 406 including:

- an encoder 407 for encoding the voice signal; and
- a transmission circuit 408 for transmitting the encoded voice signal from the encoder 407 through an antenna such as 409; and

- a receiver 410 including:

- a receiving circuit 411 for receiving a transmitted encoded voice signal usually through the same antenna 409; and
- a decoder 412 for decoding the received encoded voice signal from the receiving circuit 411.

The radiotelephone further comprises other conventional radiotelephone circuits 413 to which the encoder 407 and decoder 412 are connected and for processing signals therefrom, which circuits 413 are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and, accordingly, will not be further described in the present specification.

Also, such a bidirectional wireless radio communication subsystem typically comprises in the base station 402:

- a transmitter 414 including:

- an encoder 415 for encoding the voice signal; and
- a transmission circuit 416 for transmitting the encoded voice signal from the encoder 415 through an antenna such as 417; and

5 - a receiver 418 including:

- a receiving circuit 419 for receiving a transmitted encoded voice signal through the same antenna 417 or through another antenna (not shown); and
 - a decoder 420 for decoding the received encoded voice signal
- 10 from the receiving circuit 419.

The base station 402 further comprises, typically, a base station controller 421, along with its associated database 422, for controlling communication between the control terminal 405 and the transmitter 414 and receiver 418.

15

As well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, voice encoding is required in order to reduce the bandwidth necessary to transmit sound signal, for example voice signal such as speech, across the bidirectional wireless radio communication subsystem, i.e., between a radiotelephone

20 403 and a base station 402.

LP voice encoders (such as 415 and 407) typically operating at 13 kbits/second and below such as Code-Excited Linear Prediction (CELP) encoders typically use a LP synthesis filter to model the short-term spectral envelope of the voice signal. The LP information is transmitted, typically,

25

every 10 or 20 ms to the decoder (such 420 and 412) and is extracted at the decoder end.

The novel techniques disclosed in the present specification may apply to different LP-based coding systems. However, a CELP-type coding system is used in the preferred embodiment for the purpose of presenting a non-limitative illustration of these techniques. In the same manner, such techniques can be used with sound signals other than voice and speech as well with other types of wideband signals.

Figure 1 shows a general block diagram of a CELP-type speech encoding device 100 modified to better accommodate wideband signals.

The sampled input speech signal 114 is divided into successive L -sample blocks called "frames". In each frame, different parameters representing the speech signal in the frame are computed, encoded, and transmitted. LP parameters representing the LP synthesis filter are usually computed once every frame. The frame is further divided into smaller blocks of N samples (blocks of length N), in which excitation parameters (pitch and innovation) are determined. In the CELP literature, these blocks of length N are called "subframes" and the N -sample signals in the subframes are referred to as N -dimensional vectors. In this preferred embodiment, the length N corresponds to 5 ms while the length L corresponds to 20 ms, which means that a frame contains four subframes ($N=80$ at the sampling rate of 16 kHz and 64 after down-sampling to 12.8 kHz). Various N -dimensional vectors occur in the encoding procedure. A list of the vectors which appear in Figures 1 and 2 as well as a list of transmitted parameters are given herein below:

List of the main N -dimensional vectors

- s Wideband signal input speech vector (after down-sampling, pre-processing, and preemphasis);
 5 s_w Weighted speech vector;
 s_0 Zero-input response of weighted synthesis filter;
 s_p Down-sampled pre-processed signal;
 Oversampled synthesized speech signal;
 10 s' Synthesis signal before deemphasis;
 s_d Deemphasized synthesis signal;
 s_h Synthesis signal after deemphasis and postprocessing;
 x Target vector for pitch search;
 x' Target vector for innovation search;
 15 h Weighted synthesis filter impulse response;
 v_T Adaptive (pitch) codebook vector at delay T ;
 y_T Filtered pitch codebook vector (v_T convolved with h);
 c_k Innovative codevector at index k (k -th entry from the innovation codebook);
 20 c_r Enhanced scaled innovation codevector;
 u Excitation signal (scaled innovation and pitch codevectors);
 u' Enhanced excitation;
 z Band-pass noise sequence;
 w' White noise sequence; and
 25 w Scaled noise sequence.

List of transmitted parameters

- STP Short term prediction parameters (defining $A(z)$);
 T Pitch lag (or pitch codebook index);
 b Pitch gain (or pitch codebook gain);
5 j Index of the low-pass filter used on the pitch codevector;
 k Codevector index (innovation codebook entry); and
 g Innovation codebook gain.

10 In this preferred embodiment, the STP parameters are transmitted once per frame and the rest of the parameters are transmitted four times per frame (every subframe).

ENCODER SIDE

15 The sampled speech signal is encoded on a block by block basis by the encoding device 100 of Figure 1 which is broken down into eleven modules numbered from 101 to 111.

20 The input speech is processed into the above mentioned L -sample blocks called frames.

Referring to Figure 1, the sampled input speech signal 114 is down-sampled in a down-sampling module 101. For example, the signal is down-sampled from 16 kHz down to 12.8 kHz, using techniques well known to
25 those of ordinary skill in the art. Down-sampling down to another frequency can of course be envisaged. Down-sampling increases the coding efficiency, since a smaller frequency bandwidth is encoded. This also

reduces the algorithmic complexity since the number of samples in a frame is decreased. The use of down-sampling becomes significant when the bit rate is reduced below 16 kbit/s, although down-sampling is not essential above 16 kbit/s.

- 5 After down-sampling, the 320-sample frame of 20 ms is reduced to 256-sample frame (down-sampling ratio of 4/5).

10 The input frame is then supplied to the optional pre-processing block 102. Pre-processing block 102 may consist of a high-pass filter with a 50 Hz cut-off frequency. High-pass filter 102 removes the unwanted sound components below 50 Hz.

15 The down-sampled pre-processed signal is denoted by $s_p(n)$, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots, L-1$, where L is the length of the frame (256 at a sampling frequency of 12.8 kHz). In a preferred embodiment of the preemphasis filter 103, the signal $s_p(n)$ is preemphasized using a filter having the following transfer function:

20
$$P(z) = 1 - \mu z^{-1}$$

25 where μ is a preemphasis factor with a value located between 0 and 1 (a typical value is $\mu = 0.7$). A higher-order filter could also be used. It should be pointed out that high-pass filter 102 and preemphasis filter 103 can be interchanged to obtain more efficient fixed-point implementations.

The function of the preemphasis filter 103 is to enhance the high frequency contents of the input signal. It also reduces the dynamic range of the input speech signal, which renders it more suitable for fixed-point implementation. Without preemphasis, LP analysis in fixed-point using single-precision arithmetic is difficult to implement.

5

Preemphasis also plays an important role in achieving a proper overall perceptual weighting of the quantization error, which contributes to improved sound quality. This will be explained in more detail herein below.

10

The output of the preemphasis filter 103 is denoted $s(n)$. This signal is used for performing LP analysis in calculator module 104. LP analysis is a technique well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. In this preferred embodiment, the autocorrelation approach is used. In the autocorrelation approach, the signal $s(n)$ is first windowed using a Hamming window (having usually a length of the order of 30-40 ms). The autocorrelations are computed from the windowed signal, and Levinson-Durbin recursion is used to compute LP filter coefficients, a_i , where $i=1, \dots, p$, and where p is the LP order, which is typically 16 in wideband coding. The parameters a_i are the coefficients of the transfer function of the LP filter, which is given by the following relation:

15

20

$$A(z) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i z^{-i}$$

25

LP analysis is performed in calculator module 104, which also performs the quantization and interpolation of the LP filter coefficients. The LP filter coefficients are first transformed into another equivalent domain more suitable for quantization and interpolation purposes. The line spectral pair (LSP) and immitance spectral pair (ISP) domains are two domains in which quantization and interpolation can be efficiently performed. The 16 LP filter coefficients, a_i , can be quantized in the order of 30 to 50 bits using split or multi-stage quantization, or a combination thereof. The purpose of the interpolation is to enable updating the LP filter coefficients every subframe while transmitting them once every frame, which improves the encoder performance without increasing the bit rate. Quantization and interpolation of the LP filter coefficients is believed to be otherwise well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and, accordingly, will not be further described in the present specification.

The following paragraphs will describe the rest of the coding operations performed on a subframe basis. In the following description, the filter $A(z)$ denotes the unquantized interpolated LP filter of the subframe, and the filter $\hat{A}(z)$ denotes the quantized interpolated LP filter of the subframe.

Perceptual Weighting:

In analysis-by-synthesis encoders, the optimum pitch and innovation parameters are searched by minimizing the mean squared error between the input speech and synthesized speech in a perceptually weighted domain. This is equivalent to minimizing the error between the weighted input speech and weighted synthesis speech.

The weighted signal $s_w(n)$ is computed in a perceptual weighting filter 105. Traditionally, the weighted signal $s_w(n)$ is computed by a weighting filter having a transfer function $W(z)$ in the form:

$$W(z) = A(z/\gamma_1) / A(z/\gamma_2) \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$$

As well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, in prior art analysis-by-synthesis (AbS) encoders, analysis shows that the quantization error is weighted by a transfer function $W^{-1}(z)$, which is the inverse of the transfer function of the perceptual weighting filter 105. This result is well described by B.S. Atal and M.R. Schroeder in "Predictive coding of speech and subjective error criteria", IEEE Transaction ASSP, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 247-254, June 1979. Transfer function $W^{-1}(z)$ exhibits some of the formant structure of the input speech signal. Thus, the masking property of the human ear is exploited by shaping the quantization error so that it has more energy in the formant regions where it will be masked by the strong signal energy present in these regions. The amount of weighting is controlled by the factors γ_1 and γ_2 .

20

The above traditional perceptual weighting filter 105 works well with telephone band signals. However, it was found that this traditional perceptual weighting filter 105 is not suitable for efficient perceptual weighting of wideband signals. It was also found that the traditional perceptual weighting filter 105 has inherent limitations in modelling the formant structure and the required spectral tilt concurrently. The spectral tilt is more pronounced in wideband signals due to the wide dynamic range

25

between low and high frequencies. The prior art has suggested to add a tilt filter into $W(z)$ in order to control the tilt and formant weighting of the wideband input signal separately.

A novel solution to this problem is, in accordance with the present invention, to introduce the preemphasis filter 103 at the input, compute the LP filter $A(z)$ based on the preemphasized speech $s(n)$, and use a modified filter $W(z)$ by fixing its denominator.

LP analysis is performed in module 104 on the preemphasized signal $s(n)$ to obtain the LP filter $A(z)$. Also, a new perceptual weighting filter 105 with fixed denominator is used. An example of transfer function for the perceptual weighting filter 104 is given by the following relation:

$$W(z) = A(z/\gamma_1) / (1 - \gamma_2 z^{-1}) \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$$

A higher order can be used at the denominator. This structure substantially decouples the formant weighting from the tilt.

20

Note that because $A(z)$ is computed based on the preemphasized speech signal $s(n)$, the tilt of the filter $1/A(z/\gamma_1)$ is less pronounced compared to the case when $A(z)$ is computed based on the original speech. Since deemphasis is performed at the decoder end using a filter having the transfer function:

25

$$P^{-1}(z) = 1/(1 - \mu z^{-1}),$$

the quantization error spectrum is shaped by a filter having a transfer function $W^{-1}(z)P^{-1}(z)$. When γ_2 is set equal to μ , which is typically the case, the spectrum of the quantization error is shaped by a filter whose transfer function is $1/A(z\gamma_1)$, with $A(z)$ computed based on the preemphasized speech signal. Subjective listening showed that this structure for achieving the error shaping by a combination of preemphasis and modified weighting filtering is very efficient for encoding wideband signals, in addition to the advantages of ease of fixed-point algorithmic implementation.

Pitch Analysis:

In order to simplify the pitch analysis, an open-loop pitch lag T_{OL} is first estimated in the open-loop pitch search module 106 using the weighted speech signal $s_w(n)$. Then the closed-loop pitch analysis, which is performed in closed-loop pitch search module 107 on a subframe basis, is restricted around the open-loop pitch lag T_{OL} which significantly reduces the search complexity of the LTP parameters T and b (pitch lag and pitch gain). Open-loop pitch analysis is usually performed in module 106 once every 10 ms (two subframes) using techniques well known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

The target vector x for LTP (Long Term Prediction) analysis is first computed. This is usually done by subtracting the zero-input response s_0 of weighted synthesis filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ from the weighted speech signal $s_w(n)$. This zero-input response s_0 is calculated by a zero-input response calculator

108. More specifically, the target vector x is calculated using the following relation:

$$x = s_w - s_0$$

5

where x is the N -dimensional target vector, s_w is the weighted speech vector in the subframe, and s_0 is the zero-input response of filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ which is the output of the combined filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ due to its initial states.

10 The zero-input response calculator 108 is responsive to the quantized interpolated LP filter $\hat{A}(z)$ from the LP analysis, quantization and interpolation calculator 104 and to the initial states of the weighted synthesis filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ stored in memory module 111 to calculate the zero-input response s_0 (that part of the response due to the initial states as determined by setting
15 the inputs equal to zero) of filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$. This operation is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and, accordingly, will not be further described.

Of course, alternative but mathematically equivalent approaches can be used to compute the target vector x .

20

A N -dimensional impulse response vector h of the weighted synthesis filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ is computed in the impulse response generator 109 using the LP filter coefficients $A(z)$ and $\hat{A}(z)$ from module 104. Again, this operation is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and, accordingly,
25 will not be further described in the present specification.

The closed-loop pitch (or pitch codebook) parameters b , T and j are

computed in the closed-loop pitch search module 107, which uses the target vector \mathbf{x} , the impulse response vector \mathbf{h} and the open-loop pitch lag T_{ol} as inputs. Traditionally, the pitch prediction has been represented by a pitch filter having the following transfer function:

5

$$1 / (1 - bz^{-T})$$

10

where b is the pitch gain and T is the pitch delay or lag. In this case, the pitch contribution to the excitation signal $u(n)$ is given by $bu(n-T)$, where the total excitation is given by

15

$$u(n) = bu(n-T) + gc_k(n)$$

with g being the innovative codebook gain and $c_k(n)$ the innovative codevector at index k .

20

This representation has limitations if the pitch lag T is shorter than the subframe length N . In another representation, the pitch contribution can be seen as a pitch codebook containing the past excitation signal. Generally, each vector in the pitch codebook is a shift-by-one version of the previous vector (discarding one sample and adding a new sample). For pitch lags $T > N$, the pitch codebook is equivalent to the filter structure $(1/(1-bz^T))$, and a pitch codebook vector $v_T(n)$ at pitch lag T is given by

25

$$v_T(n) = u(n-T) \quad , \quad n=0, \dots, N-1.$$

- 5 For pitch lags T shorter than N , a vector $v_T(n)$ is built by repeating the available samples from the past excitation until the vector is completed (this is not equivalent to the filter structure).

- 10 In recent encoders, a higher pitch resolution is used which significantly improves the quality of voiced sound segments. This is achieved by oversampling the past excitation signal using polyphase interpolation filters. In this case, the vector $v_T(n)$ usually corresponds to an interpolated version of the past excitation, with pitch lag T being a non-integer delay (e.g. 50.25).

- 15 The pitch search consists of finding the best pitch lag T and gain b that minimize the mean squared weighted error E between the target vector x and the scaled filtered past excitation. Error E being expressed as:

20
$$E = \|x - by_T\|^2$$

where y_T is the filtered pitch codebook vector at pitch lag T :

25

$$y_T(n) = v_T(n) * h(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n v_T(i)h(n-i) \quad , \quad n=0, \dots, N-1.$$

It can be shown that the error E is minimized by maximizing the search criterion

5

$$C = \frac{x^t y_T}{\sqrt{y^t y_T}}$$

10

where t denotes vector transpose.

In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, a 1/3 subsample pitch resolution is used, and the pitch (pitch codebook) search is composed of three stages.

15

In the first stage, an open-loop pitch lag T_{OL} is estimated in open-loop pitch search module 106 in response to the weighted speech signal $s_w(n)$. As indicated in the foregoing description, this open-loop pitch analysis is usually performed once every 10 ms (two subframes) using techniques well known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

20

In the second stage, the search criterion C is searched in the closed-loop pitch search module 107 for integer pitch lags around the estimated open-loop pitch lag T_{OL} (usually ± 5), which significantly simplifies the search procedure. A simple procedure is used for updating the filtered codevector y_T without the need to compute the convolution for every pitch lag.

25

Once an optimum integer pitch lag is found in the second stage, a third stage of the search (module 107) tests the fractions around that optimum integer pitch lag.

When the pitch predictor is represented by a filter of the form
5 $1/(1-bz^{-T})$, which is a valid assumption for pitch lags $T > N$, the spectrum of the pitch filter exhibits a harmonic structure over the entire frequency range, with a harmonic frequency related to $1/T$. In case of wideband signals, this structure is not very efficient since the harmonic structure in wideband signals does not cover the entire extended spectrum. The harmonic
10 structure exists only up to a certain frequency, depending on the speech segment. Thus, in order to achieve efficient representation of the pitch contribution in voiced segments of wideband speech, the pitch prediction filter needs to have the flexibility of varying the amount of periodicity over the wideband spectrum.

15
A new method which achieves efficient modeling of the harmonic structure of the speech spectrum of wideband signals is disclosed in the present specification, whereby several forms of low pass filters are applied to the past excitation and the low pass filter with higher prediction gain is
20 selected.

When subsample pitch resolution is used, the low pass filters can be incorporated into the interpolation filters used to obtain the higher pitch resolution. In this case, the third stage of the pitch search, in which the
25 fractions around the chosen integer pitch lag are tested, is repeated for the several interpolation filters having different low-pass characteristics and the fraction and filter index which maximize the search criterion C are selected.

A simpler approach is to complete the search in the three stages described above to determine the optimum fractional pitch lag using only one interpolation filter with a certain frequency response, and select the optimum low-pass filter shape at the end by applying the different predetermined low-pass filters to the chosen pitch codebook vector \mathbf{v}_T and select the low-pass filter which minimizes the pitch prediction error. This approach is discussed in detail below.

Figure 3 illustrates a schematic block diagram of a preferred embodiment of the proposed approach.

In memory module 303, the past excitation signal $u(n)$, $n < 0$, is stored. The pitch codebook search module 301 is responsive to the target vector \mathbf{x} , to the open-loop pitch lag T_{OL} and to the past excitation signal $u(n)$, $n < 0$, from memory module 303 to conduct a pitch codebook (pitch codebook) search minimizing the above-defined search criterion C. From the result of the search conducted in module 301, module 302 generates the optimum pitch codebook vector \mathbf{v}_T . Note that since a sub-sample pitch resolution is used (fractional pitch), the past excitation signal $u(n)$, $n < 0$, is interpolated and the pitch codebook vector \mathbf{v}_T corresponds to the interpolated past excitation signal. In this preferred embodiment, the interpolation filter (in module 301, but not shown) has a low-pass filter characteristic removing the frequency contents above 7000 Hz.

In a preferred embodiment, K filter characteristics are used; these filter characteristics could be low-pass or band-pass filter characteristics. Once the optimum codevector \mathbf{v}_T is determined and supplied by the pitch codevector generator 302, K filtered versions of \mathbf{v}_T are computed

respectively using K different frequency shaping filters such as 305^(j), where $j=1, 2, \dots, K$. These filtered versions are denoted $v_f^{(j)}$, where $j=1, 2, \dots, K$. The different vectors $v_f^{(j)}$ are convolved in respective modules 304^(j), where $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, K$, with the impulse response h to obtain the vectors $y^{(j)}$, where $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, K$. To calculate the mean squared pitch prediction error for each vector $y^{(j)}$, the value $y^{(j)}$ is multiplied by the gain b by means of a corresponding amplifier 307^(j) and the value $by^{(j)}$ is subtracted from the target vector x by means of a corresponding subtractor 308^(j). Selector 309 selects the frequency shaping filter 305^(j) which minimizes the mean squared pitch prediction error

10

$$e^{(j)} = \|x - b^{(j)} y^{(j)}\|^2, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, K$$

15 To calculate the mean squared pitch prediction error $e^{(j)}$ for each value of $y^{(j)}$, the value $y^{(j)}$ is multiplied by the gain b by means of a corresponding amplifier 307^(j) and the value $b^{(j)} y^{(j)}$ is subtracted from the target vector x by means of subtractors 308^(j). Each gain $b^{(j)}$ is calculated in a corresponding gain calculator 306^(j) in association with the frequency shaping filter at index j , using the following relationship:

20

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2$$

25

In selector 309, the parameters b , T , and j are chosen based on v_T or $v_f^{(j)}$ which minimizes the mean squared pitch prediction error e .

Referring back to Figure 1, the pitch codebook index T is encoded and transmitted to multiplexer 112. The pitch gain b is quantized and transmitted to multiplexer 112. With this new approach, extra information is needed to encode the index j of the selected frequency shaping filter in multiplexer 112. For example, if three filters are used ($j=0, 1, 2, 3$), then two bits are needed to represent this information. The filter index information j can also be encoded jointly with the pitch gain b .

Innovative codebook search:

Once the pitch, or LTP (Long Term Prediction) parameters b , T , and j are determined, the next step is to search for the optimum innovative excitation by means of search module 110 of Figure 1. First, the target vector x is updated by subtracting the LTP contribution:

$$x' = x - by_T$$

where b is the pitch gain and y_T is the filtered pitch codebook vector (the past excitation at delay T filtered with the selected low pass filter and convolved with the impulse response h as described with reference to Figure 3).

The search procedure in CELP is performed by finding the optimum excitation codevector c_k and gain g which minimize the mean-squared error between the target vector and the scaled filtered codevector

$$E = \| x' - gHc_k \|^2$$

where H is a lower triangular convolution matrix derived from the impulse
 5 response vector h .

In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the innovative
 codebook search is performed in module 110 by means of an algebraic
 codebook as described in US patents Nos: 5,444,816 (Adoul et al.) issued
 10 on August 22, 1995; 5,699,482 granted to Adoul et al., on December 17,
 1997; 5,754,976 granted to Adoul et al., on May 19, 1998; and 5,701,392
 (Adoul et al.) dated December 23, 1997.

Once the optimum excitation codevector c_k and its gain g are chosen
 15 by module 110, the codebook index k and gain g are encoded and
 transmitted to multiplexer 112.

Referring to Figure 1, the parameters b , T , j , $\hat{A}(z)$, k and g are
 multiplexed through the multiplexer 112 before being transmitted through a
 20 communication channel.

Memory update:

25 In memory module 111 (Figure 1), the states of the weighted
 synthesis filter $W(z)/\hat{A}(z)$ are updated by filtering the excitation signal

$u = gc_k + bv_T$ through the weighted synthesis filter. After this filtering, the states of the filter are memorized and used in the next subframe as initial states for computing the zero-input response in calculator module 108.

As in the case of the target vector x , other alternative but mathematically equivalent approaches well known to those of ordinary skill in the art can be used to update the filter states.

DECODER SIDE

The speech decoding device 200 of Figure 2 illustrates the various steps carried out between the digital input 222 (input stream to the demultiplexer 217) and the output sampled speech 223 (output of the adder 221).

Demultiplexer 217 extracts the synthesis model parameters from the binary information received from a digital input channel. From each received binary frame, the extracted parameters are:

- the short-term prediction parameters (STP) $\hat{A}(z)$ (once per frame);
- the long-term prediction (LTP) parameters T , b , and j (for each subframe); and
- the innovation codebook index k and gain g (for each subframe).

The current speech signal is synthesized based on these parameters as will be explained hereinbelow.

The innovative codebook 218 is responsive to the index k to produce the innovation codevector \mathbf{c}_k , which is scaled by the decoded gain factor g through an amplifier 224. In the preferred embodiment, an innovative codebook 218 as described in the above mentioned US patent numbers 5,444,816; 5,699,482; 5,754,976; and 5,701,392 is used to represent the innovative codevector \mathbf{c}_k .

The generated scaled codevector $g\mathbf{c}_k$ at the output of the amplifier 224 is processed through a innovation filter 205.

Periodicity enhancement:

The generated scaled codevector at the output of the amplifier 224 is processed through a frequency-dependent pitch enhancer 205.

Enhancing the periodicity of the excitation signal \mathbf{u} improves the quality in case of voiced segments. This was done in the past by filtering the innovation vector from the innovative codebook (fixed codebook) 218 through a filter in the form $1/(1-\varepsilon bz^{-T})$ where ε is a factor below 0.5 which controls the amount of introduced periodicity. This approach is less efficient in case of wideband signals since it introduces periodicity over the entire spectrum. A new alternative approach, which is part of the present invention, is disclosed whereby periodicity enhancement is achieved by filtering the innovative codevector \mathbf{c}_k from the innovative (fixed) codebook through an innovation filter 205 ($F(z)$) whose frequency

response emphasizes the higher frequencies more than lower frequencies. The coefficients of $F(z)$ are related to the amount of periodicity in the excitation signal u .

Many methods known to those skilled in the art are available for obtaining valid periodicity coefficients. For example, the value of gain b provides an indication of periodicity. That is, if gain b is close to 1, the periodicity of the excitation signal u is high, and if gain b is less than 0.5, then periodicity is low.

Another efficient way to derive the filter $F(z)$ coefficients used in a preferred embodiment, is to relate them to the amount of pitch contribution in the total excitation signal u . This results in a frequency response depending on the subframe periodicity, where higher frequencies are more strongly emphasized (stronger overall slope) for higher pitch gains. Innovation filter 205 has the effect of lowering the energy of the innovative codevector c_k at low frequencies when the excitation signal u is more periodic, which enhances the periodicity of the excitation signal u at lower frequencies more than higher frequencies. Suggested forms for innovation filter 205 are

$$(1) F(z)=1-\sigma z^{-1}, \quad \text{or} \quad (2) F(z)=-\alpha z+1-\alpha z^{-1}$$

where σ or α are periodicity factors derived from the level of periodicity of the excitation signal u .

The second three-term form of $F(z)$ is used in a preferred embodiment. The periodicity factor α is computed in the voicing factor generator 204. Several methods can be used to derive the periodicity factor α based on the periodicity of the excitation signal u . Two methods are presented below.

5

Method 1:

The ratio of pitch contribution to the total excitation signal u is first computed in voicing factor generator 204 by

10

$$R_p = \frac{b^2 v_T^t v_T}{u^t u} = \frac{b^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_T^2(n)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u^2(n)}$$

15

where v_T is the pitch codebook vector, b is the pitch gain, and u is the excitation signal u given at the output of the adder 219 by

20

$$u = gc_k + bv_T$$

25

Note that the term bv_T has its source in the pitch codebook (pitch codebook) 201 in response to the pitch lag T and the past value of u stored in memory 203. The pitch codevector v_T from the pitch codebook 201 is then processed through a low-pass filter 202 whose cut-off frequency is adjusted by means of the index j from the demultiplexer 217. The resulting codevector v_T is then multiplied by the gain b from the

demultiplexer 217 through an amplifier 226 to obtain the signal bv_T .

The factor α is calculated in voicing factor generator 204 by

$$\alpha = qR_p \quad \text{bounded by} \quad \alpha < q$$

5

where q is a factor which controls the amount of enhancement (q is set to 0.25 in this preferred embodiment).

Method 2:

10

Another method used in a preferred embodiment of the invention for calculating periodicity factor α is discussed below.

First, a voicing factor r_v is computed in voicing factor generator 204 by

15

$$r_v = (E_v - E_c) / (E_v + E_c)$$

20

where E_v is the energy of the scaled pitch codevector bv_T and E_c is the energy of the scaled innovative codevector gc_k . That is

$$E_v = b^2 v_T^t v_T = b^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} v_T^2(n)$$

25

and

$$E_c = g^2 c_k^t c_k = g^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} c_k^2(n)$$

Note that the value of r_v lies between -1 and 1 (1 corresponds to purely voiced signals and -1 corresponds to purely unvoiced signals).

In this preferred embodiment, the factor α is then computed in voicing factor generator 204 by

5

$$\alpha = 0.125 (1 + r_v)$$

10 which corresponds to a value of 0 for purely unvoiced signals and 0.25 for purely voiced signals.

15 In the first, two-term form of $F(z)$, the periodicity factor σ can be approximated by using $\sigma = 2\alpha$ in methods 1 and 2 above. In such a case, the periodicity factor σ is calculated as follows in method 1 above:

$$\sigma = 2qR_p \quad \text{bounded by } \sigma < 2q.$$

In method 2, the periodicity factor σ is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma = 0.25 (1 + r_v).$$

20

The enhanced signal c_r is therefore computed by filtering the scaled innovative codevector gc_k through the innovation filter 205 ($F(z)$).

25 The enhanced excitation signal u' is computed by the adder 220 as:

$$u' = c_f + bv_T$$

Note that this process is not performed at the encoder 100. Thus, it is essential to update the content of the pitch codebook 201 using the excitation signal u without enhancement to keep synchronism between the encoder 100 and decoder 200. Therefore, the excitation signal u is used to update the memory 203 of the pitch codebook 201 and the enhanced excitation signal u' is used at the input of the LP synthesis filter 206.

Synthesis and deemphasis

The synthesized signal s' is computed by filtering the enhanced excitation signal u' through the LP synthesis filter 206 which has the form $1/\hat{A}(z)$, where $\hat{A}(z)$ is the interpolated LP filter in the current subframe. As can be seen in Figure 2, the quantized LP coefficients $\hat{A}(z)$ on line 225 from demultiplexer 217 are supplied to the LP synthesis filter 206 to adjust the parameters of the LP synthesis filter 206 accordingly. The deemphasis filter 207 is the inverse of the preemphasis filter 103 of Figure 1. The transfer function of the deemphasis filter 207 is given by

$$D(z) = 1 / (1 - \mu z^{-1})$$

where μ is a preemphasis factor with a value located between 0 and 1 (a typical value is $\mu = 0.7$). A higher-order filter could also be used.

The vector \mathbf{s}' is filtered through the deemphasis filter $D(z)$ (module 207) to obtain the vector \mathbf{s}_ϕ which is passed through the high-pass filter 208
5 to remove the unwanted frequencies below 50 Hz and further obtain \mathbf{s}_h .

Oversampling and high-frequency regeneration

10 The over-sampling module 209 conducts the inverse process of the down-sampling module 101 of Figure 1. In this preferred embodiment, oversampling converts from the 12.8 kHz sampling rate to the original 16 kHz sampling rate, using techniques well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. The oversampled synthesis signal is denoted $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$. Signal $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ is also
15 referred to as the synthesized wideband intermediate signal.

The oversampled synthesis $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ signal does not contain the higher frequency components which were lost by the downsampling process (module 101 of Figure 1) at the encoder 100. This gives a low-pass
20 perception to the synthesized speech signal. To restore the full band of the original signal, a high frequency generation procedure is disclosed. This procedure is performed in modules 210 to 216, and adder 221, and requires input from voicing factor generator 204 (Figure 2).

25 In this new approach, the high frequency contents are generated by filling the upper part of the spectrum with a white noise properly scaled in the excitation domain, then converted to the speech domain, preferably by

shaping it with the same LP synthesis filter used for synthesizing the down-sampled signal \hat{s} .

The high frequency generation procedure in accordance with the present invention is described hereinbelow.

5

The random noise generator 213 generates a white noise sequence w' with a flat spectrum over the entire frequency bandwidth, using techniques well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. The generated sequence is of length N' which is the subframe length in the original domain. Note that N is the subframe length in the down-sampled domain. In this preferred embodiment, $N=64$ and $N'=80$ which correspond to 5 ms.

10

15

The white noise sequence is properly scaled in the gain adjusting module 214. Gain adjustment comprises the following steps. First, the energy of the generated noise sequence w' is set equal to the energy of the enhanced excitation signal u' computed by an energy computing module 210, and the resulting scaled noise sequence is given by

20

$$w(n) = w'(n) \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u'^2(n)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N'-1} w'^2(n)}}, \quad n=0, \dots, N'-1.$$

25

The second step in the gain scaling is to take into account the high frequency contents of the synthesized signal at the output of the voicing factor generator 204 so as to reduce the energy of the generated noise in

case of voiced segments (where less energy is present at high frequencies compared to unvoiced segments). In this preferred embodiment, measuring the high frequency contents is implemented by measuring the tilt of the synthesis signal through a spectral tilt calculator 212 and reducing the energy accordingly. Other measurements such as zero crossing

5 measurements can equally be used. When the tilt is very strong, which corresponds to voiced segments, the noise energy is further reduced. The tilt factor is computed in module 212 as the first correlation coefficient of the synthesis signal s_h and it is given by:

$$tilt = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} s_h(n) s_h(n-1)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_h^2(n)} \quad , \quad \text{conditioned by } tilt \geq 0 \text{ and } tilt \geq r_v$$

where voicing factor r_v is given by

$$r_v = (E_v - E_c) / (E_v + E_c)$$

25 where E_v is the energy of the scaled pitch codevector bv_T and E_c is the energy of the scaled innovative codevector gc_k as described earlier. Voicing factor r_v is most often less than $tilt$ but this condition was introduced as a

precaution against high frequency tones where the tilt value is negative and the value of r_v is high. Therefore, this condition reduces the noise energy for such tonal signals.

5 The tilt value is 0 in case of flat spectrum and 1 in case of strongly voiced signals, and it is negative in case of unvoiced signals where more energy is present at high frequencies.

10 Different methods can be used to derive the scaling factor g_t from the amount of high frequency contents. In this invention, two methods are given based on the tilt of signal described above.

Method 1:

15 The scaling factor g_t is derived from the tilt by

$$g_t = 1 - \text{tilt} \quad \text{bounded by} \quad 0.2 \leq g_t \leq 1.0$$

20 For strongly voiced signal where the tilt approaches 1, g_t is 0.2 and for strongly unvoiced signals g_t becomes 1.0.

Method 2:

25 The tilt factor g_t is first restricted to be larger or equal to zero, then the scaling factor is derived from the tilt by

$$g_t = 10^{-0.6 \text{tilt}}$$

The scaled noise sequence w_g produced in gain adjusting module 214 is therefore given by:

5

$$w_g = g_t w.$$

10

When the tilt is close to zero, the scaling factor g_t is close to 1, which does not result in energy reduction. When the tilt value is 1, the scaling factor g_t results in a reduction of 12 dB in the energy of the generated noise.

15

Once the noise is properly scaled (w_g), it is brought into the speech domain using the spectral shaper 215. In the preferred embodiment, this is achieved by filtering the noise w_g through a bandwidth expanded version of the same LP synthesis filter used in the down-sampled domain ($1/\hat{A}(z/0.8)$). The corresponding bandwidth expanded LP filter coefficients are calculated in spectral shaper 215.

20

The filtered scaled noise sequence w_f is then band-pass filtered to the required frequency range to be restored using the band-pass filter 216. In the preferred embodiment, the band-pass filter 216 restricts the noise sequence to the frequency range 5.6-7.2 kHz. The resulting band-pass filtered noise sequence z is added in adder 221 to the oversampled synthesized speech signal \hat{s} to obtain the final reconstructed sound signal s_{out} on the output 223.

25

Although the present invention has been described hereinabove by way of a preferred embodiment thereof, this embodiment can be modified at will, within the scope of the appended claims, without departing from the spirit and nature of the subject invention. Even though the preferred embodiment discusses the use of wideband speech signals, it will be
5 obvious to those skilled in the art that the subject invention is also directed to other embodiments using wideband signals in general and that it is not necessarily limited to speech applications.

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A pitch analysis device for producing an optimal set of pitch codebook parameters, comprising:

a) at least two signal paths associated to respective sets of pitch codebook parameters, wherein:

i) each signal path comprises a pitch prediction error calculating device for calculating a pitch prediction error of a pitch codevector from a pitch codebook search device; and

ii) at least one of said two paths comprises a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of said one path; and

b) a selector for comparing the pitch prediction errors calculated in said at least two signal paths, for choosing the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error, and for selecting the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the chosen signal path.

2. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 1, wherein one of said at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device.

3. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 1, wherein said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each provided with a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

4. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 3, wherein the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

5. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 1, wherein each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with a weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and a pitch search target vector;

c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

6. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 5, wherein said pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

7. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 1, wherein said pitch prediction error calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the
5 signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.
8. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 5, wherein:
- a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter
10 index;
 - b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index; and
 - c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.
9. A pitch analysis device as defined in claim 1, wherein said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.
10. A pitch analysis method for producing an optimal set of pitch codebook parameters, comprising:
- a) in at least two signal paths associated to respective sets of pitch codebook parameters, calculating, for each signal path, a pitch prediction error of a pitch codevector from a pitch codebook search device;
 - 25 b) in at least one of said two signal paths, filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector for calculation of said pitch prediction error of said one path; and

c) comparing the pitch prediction errors calculated in said at least two signal paths, choosing the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error, and selecting the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the choosen signal path.

5 11. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 10, wherein, in one of said at least two paths, no filtering of the pitch codevector is performed before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device.

10 12. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 10, wherein said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths and wherein filtering the pitch codevector is performed in each of said plurality of signal paths before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

15 13. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 12, further comprising selecting the filters of said plurality of paths from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

20 14. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 10, wherein calculating a pitch prediction error in each signal path comprises:

25 a) convolving the pitch codevector with a weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and a pitch search target vector;

c) multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

- 5 15. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 14, wherein said pitch gain calculation comprises calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

10

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

15

16. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 10, wherein calculating said pitch prediction error, in each signal path, comprises calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein comparing the pitch prediction error comprises comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

20

17. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 14, wherein:

a) identifying each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths by a filter index;

25

b) identifying said pitch codevector by a pitch codebook index; and

c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.

18. A pitch analysis method as defined in claim 10, wherein said filtering the pitch codevector is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.

5 19. An encoder having a pitch analysis device as in claim 1 for encoding a wideband input signal, said encoder comprising:

- a) a linear prediction synthesis filter calculator responsive to the wideband signal for producing linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients;
- b) a perceptual weighting filter, responsive to the wideband signal
10 and the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients, for producing a perceptually weighted signal;
- c) an impulse response generator responsive to said linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients for producing a weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal;
- 15 d) a pitch search unit for producing pitch codebook parameters, said pitch search unit comprising:
 - i) said pitch codebook search device responsive to the perceptually weighted signal and the linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients for producing the pitch codevector and an
20 innovative search target vector; and
 - ii) said pitch analysis device responsive to the pitch codevector for selecting, from said sets of pitch codebook parameters, the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error;
- 25 d) an innovative codebook search device, responsive to the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal, and the innovative search target vector, for producing innovative codebook parameters; and

e) a signal forming device for producing an encoded wideband signal comprising the set of pitch codebook parameters associated to the path having the lowest pitch prediction error, said innovative codebook parameters, and said linear prediction synthesis filter coefficients.

5 20. An encoder as defined in claim 19, wherein one of said at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device.

10 21. An encoder as defined in claim 19, wherein said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each provided with a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

15 22. An encoder as defined in claim 21, wherein the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

20 23. An encoder as defined in claim 19, wherein each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and the pitch search target vector;

25 c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

24. An encoder as defined in claim 23, wherein said pitch gain calculator
5 comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

- 10 and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

25. An encoder as defined in claim 19, wherein said pitch prediction error
15 calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

20

26. An encoder as defined in claim 23, wherein:

a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter index;

b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index; and

- 25 c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.

27. A encoder as defined in claim 19, wherein said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.

28. A cellular communication system for servicing a large geographical area
5 divided into a plurality of cells, comprising:

- a) mobile transmitter/receiver units;
- b) cellular base stations respectively situated in said cells;
- c) a control terminal for controlling communication between the cellular base stations;

10 d) a bidirectional wireless communication sub-system between each mobile unit situated in one cell and the cellular base station of said one cell, said bidirectional wireless communication sub-system comprising, in both the mobile unit and the cellular base station:

- 15 i) a transmitter including an encoder for encoding a wideband signal as recited in claim 19 and a transmission circuit for transmitting the encoded wideband signal; and
- ii) a receiver including a receiving circuit for receiving a transmitted encoded wideband signal and a decoder for decoding the received encoded wideband signal.

20

29. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 28, wherein one of said at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device.

25

30. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 28, wherein said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each provided with a filter

for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

31. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 30, wherein the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

32. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 28, wherein each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and the pitch search target vector;

c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

20

33. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 32, wherein said pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

34. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 28, wherein said pitch prediction error calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

35. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 32, wherein:

- a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter index;
- b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index; and
- c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.

36. A cellular communication system as defined in claim 28, wherein said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.

37. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit comprising:

- a) a transmitter including an encoder for encoding a wideband signal as recited in claim 19 and a transmission circuit for transmitting the encoded wideband signal; and

b) a receiver including a receiving circuit for receiving a transmitted encoded wideband signal and a decoder for decoding the received encoded wideband signal.

38. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 37, wherein
5 one of said at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device.

39. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 37, wherein
10 said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each provided with a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

40. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 39, wherein
15 the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

41. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 37, wherein
20 each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response
25 to the convolved pitch codevector and the pitch search target vector;

c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

42. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 41, wherein said pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = x^T y^{(j)} / \|y^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

43. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 37, wherein said pitch prediction error calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

44. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 41, wherein:

a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter index;

b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index;
and

c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the
5 pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.

45. A cellular mobile transmitter/receiver unit as defined in claim 37, wherein
said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search
device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version
10 of said pitch codevector.

46. A cellular network element comprising:
a) a transmitter including an encoder for encoding a wideband
signal as recited in claim 19 and a transmission circuit for transmitting the
15 encoded wideband signal; and

b) a receiver including a receiving circuit for receiving a
transmitted encoded wideband signal and a decoder for decoding the
received encoded wideband signal.

20 47. A cellular network element as defined in claim 46, wherein one of said
at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before
supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating
device.

25 48. A cellular network element as defined in claim 46, wherein said signal
paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each provided with a filter for

filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

49. A cellular network element as defined in claim 48, wherein the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

50. A cellular network element as defined in claim 46, wherein each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and the pitch search target vector;

c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

51. A cellular network element as defined in claim 50, wherein said pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}^{(j)} / \|\mathbf{y}^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where x is said pitch search target vector, and $y^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

52. A cellular network element as defined in claim 46, wherein said pitch prediction error calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

53. A cellular network element as defined in claim 50, wherein:

a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter index;

b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index; and

c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.

54. A cellular network element as defined in claim 46, wherein said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.

55. In a cellular communication system for servicing a large geographical area divided into a plurality of cells, comprising: mobile transmitter/receiver

units; cellular base stations, respectively situated in said cells; and control terminal for controlling communication between the cellular base stations:

a bidirectional wireless communication sub-system between each mobile unit situated in one cell and the cellular base station of said one cell, said bidirectional wireless communication sub-system comprising, in both the
5 mobile unit and the cellular base station:

a) a transmitter including an encoder for encoding a wideband signal as recited in claim 19 and a transmission circuit for transmitting the encoded wideband signal; and

b) a receiver including a receiving circuit for receiving a transmitted
10 encoded wideband signal and a decoder for decoding the received encoded wideband signal.

56. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 55, wherein one of said at least two paths comprises no filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch
15 prediction error calculating device.

57. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 55, wherein said signal paths comprises a plurality of signal paths each
20 provided with a filter for filtering the pitch codevector before supplying said pitch codevector to the pitch prediction error calculating device of the same path.

58. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim
25 57, wherein the filters of said plurality of paths are selected from the group consisting of low-pass and band-pass filters, and wherein said filters have different frequency responses.

59. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 55, wherein each pitch prediction error calculating device comprises:

a) a convolution unit for convolving the pitch codevector with the weighted synthesis filter impulse response signal and therefore calculating a convolved pitch codevector;

b) a pitch gain calculator for calculating a pitch gain in response to the convolved pitch codevector and the pitch search target vector;

c) an amplifier for multiplying the convolved pitch codevector by the pitch gain to thereby produce an amplified convolved pitch codevector; and

d) a combiner circuit for combining the amplified convolved pitch codevector with the pitch search target vector to thereby produce the pitch prediction error.

60. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 59, wherein said pitch gain calculator comprises a means for calculating said pitch gain $b^{(j)}$ using the relation:

$$b^{(j)} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}^{(j)} / \|\mathbf{y}^{(j)}\|^2 \quad \text{where } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K, \text{ and } K \text{ corresponds to a number of signal paths,}$$

and where \mathbf{x} is said pitch search target vector, and $\mathbf{y}^{(j)}$ is said convolved pitch codevector.

61. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 55, wherein said pitch prediction error calculating device of each signal path comprises means for calculating an energy of the corresponding pitch prediction error, and wherein said selector comprises means for comparing

the energies of said pitch prediction errors of the different signal paths and for choosing as the signal path having the lowest calculated pitch prediction error the signal path having the lowest calculated energy of the pitch prediction error.

- 5 62. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 59, wherein:


a) each of said filters of the plurality of signal paths is identified by a filter index;


b) said pitch codevector is identified by a pitch codebook index;


- 10 and

c) said pitch codebook parameters comprise the filter index, the pitch codebook index and the pitch gain.


- 15 63. A bidirectional wireless communication sub-system as defined in claim 55, wherein said filter is integrated in an interpolation filter of said pitch codebook search device, said interpolation filter being used to produce a sub-sample version of said pitch codevector.







4/4

FIG. 4

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLPP.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050PLEASE NOTE:
YOU MUST
COMPLETE THE
FOLLOWING**COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT AND DESIGN APPLICATIONS**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one inventor is named below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Insert Title:

A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR ADAPTIVE BANDWIDTH PITCH SEARCH IN CODING WIDEBAND SIGNALSFill in Appropriate
Information -
For Use Without
Specification
Attached:

the specification of which is attached hereto. If not attached hereto,

the specification was filed on April 24, 2001 _____ as

United States Application Number _____;

and amended on _____ (if applicable) and/or

the specification was filed on October 27, 1999 _____ as PCTInternational Application Number PCT/CA99/01008 _____; and was

amended under PCT Article 19 on _____ (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I do not know and do not believe the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representative or assigns more than twelve months (six months for designs) prior to this application, and that no application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention has been filed in any country foreign to the United States of America prior to this application by me or my legal representatives or assigns, except as follows.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119(a)-(d) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)**Priority Claimed**Insert Priority
Information:
(if appropriate)

<u>2,252,170</u>	<u>Canada</u>	<u>27 October 1998</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Filed)	Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Filed)	Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Filed)	Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) of any United States provisional applications(s) listed below.

Insert Provisional
Application(s):
(if any)

_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)
_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)

All Foreign Applications, if any, for any Patent or Inventor's Certificate Filed More than 12 Months (6 Months for Designs) Prior to the Filing Date of This Application:

Country	Application Number	Date of Filing (Month/Day/Year)
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Insert Requested
Information:
(if appropriate)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States and/or PCT application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States and/or PCT application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Insert Prior U.S.
Application(s):
(if any)

_____	_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)
_____	_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and/or an international application based on this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and in connection with the resulting patent based on instructions received from the entity who first sent the application papers to the attorneys identified below, unless the inventor(s) or assignee provides said attorneys with a written notice to the contrary:

20	Raymond C. Stewart	(Reg. No. 21,066)	Terrell C. Birch	(Reg. No. 19,382)
	Joseph A. Kolasch	(Reg. No. 22,463)	James M. Slattery	(Reg. No. 28,380)
	Bernard L. Sweeney	(Reg. No. 24,448)	Michael K. Mutter	(Reg. No. 29,680)
	Charles Gorenstein	(Reg. No. 29,771)	Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.	(Reg. No. 28,977)
	Leonard R. Svensson	(Reg. No. 30,330)	Terry L. Clark	(Reg. No. 32,644)
	Andrew D. Meikle	(Reg. No. 32,868)	Marc S. Weiner	(Reg. No. 32,181)
	Joe McKinney Muncy	(Reg. No. 32,334)	Donald J. Daley	(Reg. No. 34,313)
	John W. Bailey	(Reg. No. 32,881)	John A. Castellano	(Reg. No. 35,094)
	Gary D. Yacura	(Reg. No. 35,416)	Thomas S. Auchterlonie	(Reg. No. 37,273)
	Mark J. Nuell	(Reg. No. 36,623)	F. Prince Butler	(Reg. No. 25,666)

Send Correspondence to:

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747 • Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000 • Facsimile: (703) 205-8050

or Customer No. 2292

PLEASE NOTE:

YOU MUST
COMPLETE
THE
FOLLOWING:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

GIVEN NAME/FAMILY NAME Bruno BESSETTE		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <i>Bruno Besette</i>	DATE* June 6, 2001
Residence (City, State & Country) 1546 Perodeau, Rock Forest, Quebec J1N 1L2, CANADA		CITIZENSHIP CA Canadian	
MAILING ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) Same as above			
GIVEN NAME/FAMILY NAME Redwan SALAMI		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <i>Redwan Salami</i>	DATE* June 6, 2001
Residence (City, State & Country) 963 Leo Laliberte, Sherbrooke, Quebec J1J 4L3, CANADA		CITIZENSHIP CA Canadian	
MAILING ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) Same as above			
GIVEN NAME/FAMILY NAME Roch LEFEBVRE		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE <i>Roch Lefebvre</i>	DATE* June 6, 2001
Residence (City, State & Country) 259, avenue de la Bourgade, Canton de Magog, Quebec J1K 5R9, CANADA		CITIZENSHIP CA Canadian	
MAILING ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country) Same as above			
GIVEN NAME/FAMILY NAME		INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE*
Residence (City, State & Country)		CITIZENSHIP	
MAILING ADDRESS (Complete Street Address including City, State & Country)			